# A Quantum Communication Advantage via Belief-Propagation with Quantum Messages

#### Narayanan Rengaswamy Rhodes Information Initiative at Duke (iiD), Duke University

Joint Work: Kaushik Seshadreesan, Saikat Guha, and Henry Pfister

Information Theory and Applications (ITA) Workshop, San Diego, CA

Feb. 5, 2020

Master's: With Henry Pfister at Texas A&M

• Cyclic Polar Codes with algebraic successive-cancellation decoder

Internship: With Laurent Schmalen and Vahid Aref at Bell Labs

• Spatially-Coupled LDPC Codes on burst erasure channels

Doctoral: With Henry Pfister and Robert Calderbank at Duke

- Fault-tolerant quantum computing, connections to classical codes
- Quantum statistical inference, generalizing message passing
- Unitary 2-designs from Kerdock codes, classical-quantum connections



### 2 Belief-Propagation with Quantum Messages (BPQM)

< 行

## Goal: Logical Operations from Physical T Gates

#### QECC: Quantum Error Correcting Code



What stabilizer structure is required so that the physical application of T gates preserves the code subspace?

CSS-T Codes: Pair  $(C_1, C_2)$  of codes satisfying  $C_2 \subset C_1$  and the following:

- All codewords  $x \in C_2$  have even Hamming weight  $w_H(x)$ .
- For each x ∈ C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>1</sub><sup>⊥</sup> consists of a dimension w<sub>H</sub>(x)/2 self-dual code Z<sub>x</sub> supported on x (i.e., Z<sub>x</sub> is essentially a [w<sub>H</sub>(x), w<sub>H</sub>(x)/2] code).

This yields a quantum code with parameters  $[n, k_1 - k_2, d \ge \min(d_1, d_2^{\perp})]$ .

Open: A CSS-T family with 
$$\frac{(k_1-k_2)}{n}=O(1)$$
 and  $\frac{d}{n}=O(1)$ 

This would imply a huge savings in resources for quantum computing! (see arXiv:1910.09333, or arXiv:2001.04887 for shorter version)

### 1 Classical Coding Problem from *T* Gates

### 2 Belief-Propagation with Quantum Messages (BPQM)

## Bit-MAP and Belief-Propagation (BP)

Block-MAP is optimal but has exponentially growing complexity in n. Bit-MAP marginalizes the joint posterior and makes a decision bit-wise.



Classical Channels  $W(y|x) \triangleq \mathbb{P}[Y = y|X = x]$ :

$$\begin{split} & [W \circledast W'](y, z|x) \triangleq W(y|x) \cdot W'(z|x), \\ & [W \circledast W'](y, z|x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}W(y|x) \cdot W'(z|0) + \frac{1}{2}W(y|x \oplus 1) \cdot W'(z|1). \end{split}$$

Classical-Quantum Channels  $W(x) \triangleq W(|x\rangle \langle x|), x \in \{0,1\}$ :

$$\begin{split} & [W \circledast W'](x) \triangleq W(x) \otimes W'(x), \\ & [W \trianglerighteq W'](x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}W(x) \otimes W'(0) + \frac{1}{2}W(x \oplus 1) \otimes W'(1). \end{split}$$

This was introduced by Joseph Renes in arXiv:1607.04833

How do we generalize BP w.r.t. these channel convolutions?

# $x \in \{0,1\} ightarrow$ Pure State Channel $ightarrow |(-1)^x heta angle$

BPQM Node Operations: (also by Joseph Renes in arXiv:1607.04833)

$$\begin{split} U_{\circledast}(\theta,\theta')\big([W \circledast W'](x)\big) U_{\circledast}(\theta,\theta')^{\dagger} &= \left|\pm\theta^{\circledast}\right\rangle \left\langle\pm\theta^{\circledast}\right| \otimes \left|0\right\rangle \left\langle0\right|,\\ U_{\mathbb{R}}\big([W \ltimes W'](x)\big) U_{\mathbb{R}}^{\dagger} &= \sum_{j \in \{0,1\}} p_{j} \left|\pm\theta_{j}^{\circledast}\right\rangle \left\langle\pm\theta_{j}^{\circledast}\right| \otimes \left|j\right\rangle \left\langle j\right|. \end{split}$$

Apply BPQM operations to decode bit  $x_1$  of the code:



Narayanan Rengaswamy (Duke)

BP with Quantum Messages (BPQM)

### Full BPQM Circuit for the 5-bit Code



BP with Quantum Messages (BPQM)

Feb. 5, 2020 7/8

• = • •

## Quantum Advantage for the 5-bit Code!

Optimal: Joint Helstrom measurement to distinguish the 8 codewords



#### Pure state channel inspired by deep-space optical communications

Narayanan Rengaswamy (Duke)

BP with Quantum Messages (BPQM)

Feb. 5, 2020 8/8

There are lots and lots of open problems here! (The paper on BPQM will be on arXiv soon)

I hope you are excited to visit my poster!

Thank you!